1	DANIEL J. BERGESON, State Bar No. 105439 dbergeson@be-law.com DONALD P. GAGLIARDI, State Bar No. 138979 dgagliardi@be-law.com BERGESON, LLP 303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500	
2		
3		
4	San Jose, CA 95110-2712	
5	Telephone: (408) 291-6200 Facsimile: (408) 297-6000	
6	MARK C. HANSEN, pro hac vice	
7	mhansen@khhte.com J.C. ROZENDAAL, <i>pro hac vice</i>	
8	jrozendaal@khhte.com RICHARD H. STERN, pro hac vice rstern@khhte.com KELLOGG, HUBER, HANSEN, TODD,	
9		
10	EVANS & FIGEL, PLLC Sumner Square	
11	1615 M Street, NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: (202) 326-7900 Facsimile: (202) 326-7999  Counsel for Defendant Ricoh Co., Ltd.  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
17 18	SAN FRAN	CISCO DIVISION
19 20	ASUSTEK COMPUTER INC. and ASUS COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL,	Case No. C 07-01942-MHP
21	Plaintiffs, vs.	DECLARATION OF J.C. ROZENDAAL IN SUPPORT OF JOINT MOTION
22	RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,	TO POSTPONE PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 6-2
23	Defendant.	
24 25	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.	
25 26	I, J.C. Rozendaal, hereby declare as follows:	
27	1. I am an attorney admitted to practice before this Court pro hac vice and am a	
28	partner with the law firm of Kellogg, Huber, Hansen, Todd, Evans & Figel, P.L.L.C., attorneys	
	r	,

26

27

28

for Defendant Ricoh Company, Ltd. in the above-captioned matter. If called upon to testify, I could and would do so competently as to the matters set forth herein.

- 2. The parties seek the requested 30-day postponement of the Status Conference currently scheduled for September 8, 2008, because the parties reached an agreement in principle to settle the case on November 27, 2007 and are attempting to work out the details of the agreement and reduce the agreement to writing, after which time they expect to dismiss the case. Ricoh transmitted proposed language for settlement and license agreements to the plaintiffs on December 18, 2007. Plaintiffs transmitted a counterproposal on January 22, 2008. Ricoh sent revised drafts back to plaintiffs' counsel on February 14, 2008. The parties met and conferred on those changes on March 19, 2008. Working through counsel, the parties were unable to reach an understanding on certain terms and therefore decided to meet and confer directly to discuss their differences. The parties met without counsel in Taipei, Taiwan on April 18, 2008, and made good progress toward reducing their agreement to writing, although several issues remain open. After the Taipei settlement meetings, ASUS sent revised drafts of the settlement and license agreements to Ricoh on May 9, 2008. The parties have continued to discuss further revisions to the settlement drafts. The parties exchanged drafts of the settlement agreement last week, and are working to resolve the final settlement details as soon as possible. It appears possible that only a single issue remains to be resolved. Although the parties and their counsel remain committed to finalizing the settlement, the parties need additional time to finalize the proposed settlement documents and, possibly, to seek the mediator's assistance in working out the remaining issue.
- 3. On June 26, 2008, the parties requested a 30-day postponement of the Status Conference scheduled for July 7, 2008, because they had reached an agreement in principle to

28

settle the case and were attempting to work out the final details of the agreement and reduce the agreement to writing, as noted above.

- 4. On May 27, 2008, the parties requested a 30-day postponement of the Status Conference scheduled for June 9, 2008, for the same reason.
- 5. On April 18, 2008, the parties requested a 30-day postponement of the Status Conference scheduled for May 5, 2008, for the same reason.
- 6. On March 20, 2008, the parties requested a 30-day postponement of the Status Conference scheduled for March 31, 2008, for the same reason.
- 7. On February 28, 2008, the parties requested a 30-day postponement of the Status Conference scheduled for March 3, 2008, for the same reason.
- 8. On January 23, 2008, the parties requested a 30-day postponement of the Status Conference scheduled for February 4, 2008, for the same reason.
- 9. On December 28, 2007, the parties requested a 30-day postponement of the Status Conference scheduled for January 7, 2008, for the same reason.
- 10. On June 12, 2007, the parties requested a continuance of the Case Management Conference from July 16, 2007, to September 10, 2007, due to a scheduling conflict with the plaintiffs' lead trial counsel.
  - 11. The requested time modification will not effect any currently scheduled date.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 27th day of July, 2008, at Washington, District of Columbia.

By: /s/ J.C. Rozendaal\_ J.C. Rozendaal